# REMARKS ON THE MIXED JOINT UNIVERSALITY FOR A CLASS OF ZETA-FUNCTIONS

ROMA KAČINSKAITĖ AND KOHJI MATSUMOTO

ABSTRACT. Two remarks related with the mixed joint universality for a polynomial Euler product $\varphi(s)$ and a periodic Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$, when $\alpha$ is a transcendental parameter, are given. One is the mixed joint functional independence, and the other is a generalized universality, which includes several periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions.

Keywords: functional independence, periodic Hurwitz zeta-function, polynomial Euler product, universality.

AMS classification: 11M41, 11M35.

arXiv:1601.03795v2 [math.NT] 5 Aug 2016

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In our former paper [5], we have shown a certain mixed joint universality theorem, which is valid for an Euler product of rather general form, and a periodic Hurwitz zeta-function.

In the present note, we give two remarks related with the result in [5]. The first remark is on the mixed joint functional independence result. It is well known that functional independence properties can be deduced from universality results. We will show that such a functional independence is also valid in our present mixed joint situation.

The second remark is on a generalization of the result in [5]. It is an important problem to study how general the mixed joint universality property holds. We will prove a generalized limit theorem and a generalized universality theorem, which involve several periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, under a certain matrix condition.

## 2. FUNCTIONAL INDEPENDENCE

The history of the problem on the functional independence of Dirichlet series goes back to the famous lecture of D. Hilbert [2] in 1900. He mentioned that the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ does not satisfy any non-trivial algebraic differential equation.

Recall the definition of $\zeta(s)$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all prime numbers, and $\mathbb{C}$ the set of all complex numbers. For $s=\sigma+it\in\mathbb{C}$, $\zeta(s)$ is given by

$$\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^s}=\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

for $\sigma>1$, and can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ except for a simple pole at the point $s=1$ with residue 1.

In 1973, S.M. Voronin proved [16] the following functional independence result on $\zeta(s)$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0$ be the set of positive integers, and non-negative integers, respectively.

**Theorem A** ([16])**.** Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The function $\zeta(s)$ does not satisfy any differential equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} s^j F_j\left(\zeta(s), \zeta'(s), \dots, \zeta^{(N-1)}(s)\right) \equiv 0$$

for continuous functions $F_j$, $j = 0, \dots, n$, not all identically zero.

Later this result was generalized to other zeta- and $L$-functions. For the survey, see the monographs by A. Laurinčikas [6] and J. Steuding [15].

Nowadays in analytic number theory, the investigation of statistical properties (and also the functional independence) for a collection of various zeta-functions, some of them have the Euler product expansion and others do not have, is a very interesting problem since an important role is played by parameters included in the definition of those functions.

The first result in this direction is due to H. Mishou. In 2007, he proved [12, Theorem 4] that the pair of zeta-functions consisting of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ and the Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ is functionally independent.

We recall that the Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ with a fixed parameter $\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\alpha)^s}$$

for $\sigma > 1$, and can be continued to the whole complex plane, except, for a simple pole at the point $s = 1$ with residue 1. In general, the function $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ has no Euler product over primes, except for the cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, when $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ is essentially reduced to $\zeta(s)$. Then Mishou's result is the following statement.

**Theorem B** ([12])**.** Suppose that $\alpha$ is transcendental. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $F_j : \mathbb{C}^{2N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function for each $j = 0, \dots, n$. Suppose

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} s^j \cdot F_j\big(\zeta(s), \zeta'(s), \dots, \zeta^{(N-1)}(s), \zeta(s,\alpha), \zeta'(s,\alpha), \dots, \zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha)\big) \equiv 0.$$

Then $F_j \equiv 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$.

This is the first "mixed joint" functional independence theorem. Later this result was generalized to the collection of a periodic zeta-function and a periodic Hurwitz zeta-function by the first-named author and A. Laurinčikas in [4].

In this paper, we will prove rather general result on the mixed joint functional independence for a class of zeta-functions, consisting of the so-called Matsumoto zeta-functions and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{b_m : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be a periodic sequence of complex numbers (not all zero) with minimal period $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. In 2006, A. Javtokas and A. Laurinčikas [3]

introduced the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$. For $\sigma>1$, it is given by the series

$$\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{b_m}{(m+\alpha)^s}.$$

It is known that

$$\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})=\frac{1}{k^s}\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}b_l\zeta\left(s,\frac{l+\alpha}{k}\right),\quad \sigma>1.$$

Therefore the function $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$ can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane, except for a possible simple pole at the point $s=1$ with residue

$$b:=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}b_l.$$

If $b=0$, the corresponding periodic Hurwitz zeta-function is an entire function.

The functional independence of periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions was proved by A. Laurinčikas in [7, Theorem 1].

Now we recall the definition of the polynomial Euler products $\widetilde{\varphi}(s)$, or, so-called Matsumoto zeta-functions.

Let, for $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $g(m)\in\mathbb{N}$, and, for $j\in\mathbb{N}$ and $1\le j\le g(m)$, $f(j,m)\in\mathbb{N}$. Denote by $p_m$ the $m$th prime number, and $a_m^{(j)}\in\mathbb{C}$. The zeta-function $\widetilde{\varphi}$ was introduced by the second-named author in [11], and it is defined by the polynomial Euler product

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(s)=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{g(m)}\left(1-a_m^{(j)}p_m^{-sf(j,m)}\right)^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that

$$g(m)\le C_1p_m^{\alpha}\quad\text{and}\quad|a_m^{(j)}|\le p_m^{\beta} \tag{2}$$

with a positive constant $C_1$ and non-negative constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$. In view of (2), the function $\widetilde{\varphi}(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma>\alpha+\beta+1$ (see Appendix), and hence in this region it can be expressed as the Dirichlet series

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\widetilde{c}_k}{k^s}, \tag{3}$$

with coefficients $\widetilde{c}_k$. For brevity, denote the shifted version of the function $\widetilde{\varphi}(s)$ by

$$\varphi(s)=\widetilde{\varphi}(s+\alpha+\beta)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\widetilde{c}_k}{k^{s+\alpha+\beta}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{c_k}{k^s} \tag{4}$$

with $c_k=k^{-\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{c}_k$. Then, for $\sigma>1$, the series on the right-hand side of (4) converges absolutely.

The aim of this paper is to obtain a mixed joint functional independence of the collection of zeta-functions consisting of the Matsumoto zeta-function $\varphi(s)$ belonging to the Steuding subclass $\widetilde{S}$, defined below, and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$.

We say that the function $\varphi(s)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{S}$ if following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists a Dirichlet series expansion

$$\varphi(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{a(m)}{m^s}$$

with $a(m)=O(m^{\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon>0$;

(ii) there exists $\sigma_\varphi<1$ such that $\varphi(s)$ can be meromorphically continued to the half-plane $\sigma>\sigma_\varphi$;

(iii) there exists a constant $c\geq 0$ such that

$$\varphi(\sigma+it)=O(|t|^{c+\varepsilon})$$

for every fixed $\sigma>\sigma_\varphi$ and $\varepsilon>0$;

(iv) there exists the Euler product expansion over prime numbers, i.e.,

$$\varphi(s)=\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(1-\frac{a_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1};$$

(v) there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{\pi(x)}\sum_{p\leq x}|a(p)|^2=\kappa,$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes $p$, $p\leq x$.

This class was introduced by J. Steuding in [15], and is a subclass of the class of Matsumoto zeta-functions. For $\varphi\in\widetilde{S}$, let $\sigma^*$ be the infimum of all $\sigma_1$ for which

$$\frac{1}{2T}\int_{-T}^{T}|\varphi(\sigma+it)|^2dt\sim\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{|a(m)|^2}{m^{2\sigma}}$$

holds for any $\sigma\geq\sigma_1$. Then it is known that $\frac{1}{2}\leq\sigma^*<1$ (see [15, Theorem 2.4]).

We state the first main result of this paper in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that $\alpha$ is a transcendental number, and the function $\varphi(s)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{S}$. Let $N\in\mathbb{N}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, and the function $F_j:\mathbb{C}^{2N}\to\mathbb{C}$ be continuous for $j=0,1,\dots,n$. If*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}s^j\cdot F_j\big(\varphi(s),\varphi'(s),\dots,\varphi^{(N-1)}(s),\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}),\zeta'(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})\big)$$

*is identically zero, then $F_j\equiv 0$ for $j=0,1,\dots,n$.*

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

To the proof of the mixed joint functional independence for the functions $\varphi(s)$ and $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$, we need two further propositions: the mixed joint universality theorem and the so-called denseness lemma.

3.1. **Mixed joint universality of the functions $\varphi(s)$ and $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$.** The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the mixed joint universality theorem in the Voronin sense for the functions $\varphi(s)$ and $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$. It was obtained by the authors in [5, Theorem 2.2]. We will give the statement of this universality theorem as a lemma. Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers, and $D(a,b)=\{s\in\mathbb{C}: a<\sigma<b\}$ for any $a<b$. For any compact subset $K\subset\mathbb{C}$, denote by $H^c(K)$ the set of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous functions defined on $K$, holomorphic in the interior of $K$. By $H_0^c(K)$ we mean the subset of $H^c(K)$ consisting of all elements which are non-vanishing on $K$.

**Lemma 2** ([5])**.** *Suppose that $\varphi\in\widetilde{S}$, and $\alpha$ is a transcendental number. Let $K_1$ be a compact subset of $D(\sigma^*,1)$, and $K_2$ be a compact subset of $D(\frac{1}{2},1)$, both with connected complements. Suppose that $f_1\in H_0^c(K_1)$ and $f_2\in H^c(K_2)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$,*

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\mu\left\{\tau\in[0,T]:\sup_{s\in K_1}|\varphi(s+i\tau)-f_1(s)|<\varepsilon,\right.$$
$$\left.\sup_{s\in K_2}|\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})-f_2(s)|<\varepsilon\right\}>0,$$

*where $\mu\{A\}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the measurable set $A\subset\mathbb{R}$.*

Note that for the proof of Lemma 2 we use the joint mixed limit theorem in the sense of weakly convergent probability measures for the Matsumoto zeta-functions $\varphi(s)$ and the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})$. It was proved by the authors in [5, Theorem 2.1].

3.2. **A denseness lemma.** For the proof of Theorem 1, we need a denseness lemma.

Define the map $u:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}^{2N}$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}u(t) &= \big(\varphi(\sigma+it),\varphi'(\sigma+it),\dots,\varphi^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it),\\ &\quad \zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}),\zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})\big)\end{aligned}$$

with $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<1$.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that $\alpha$ is transcendental. Then the image of $\mathbb{R}$ by $u$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$.*

*Proof.* We will give a sketch, since the proof follows in the same way as Lemma 13 from [4] (see, also, [12, Theorem 3]).

We can find a sequence $\{\tau_m:\tau_m\in\mathbb{R}\}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tau_m=\infty$, such that the inequalities

$$|\varphi^{(j)}(\sigma+i\tau_m)-s_{1j}|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$$

and

$$|\zeta^{(j)}(\sigma+i\tau_m,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})-s_{2j}|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$$

hold for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and arbitrary complex numbers $s_{lj}$, $l = 1,2$, $j = 0,\dots,N-1$. To show this, we consider the polynomial

$$p_{lN}(s) = \frac{s_{l,N-1}\cdot s^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} + \frac{s_{l,N-2}\cdot s^{N-2}}{(N-2)!} + \ldots + \frac{s_{l0}}{0!}, \quad l = 1,2.$$

Then, for $j = 0,\dots,N-1$ and $l = 1,2$, we have

$$p_{lN}^{(j)}(0) = s_{lj}.$$

Now, in view of Lemma 2 and repeating analogous arguments as in the proof of Lemma 13 from [4], we can prove the existence of the above sequence $\{\tau_m\}$ and obtain that the image of $\mathbb{R}$ by the map $u$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1. The essential idea is due to Voronin (see, for example, [17]). We first prove that $F_n \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $F_n \not\equiv 0$. It follows that there exists a point

$$\underline{a} = (s_{10}, s_{11}, \dots, s_{1,N-1}, s_{20}, s_{21}, \dots, s_{2,N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$$

such that $F_n(\underline{a}) \neq 0$. From the continuity of the function $F_n$, we find a bounded domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ such that $\underline{a} \in G$, and, for all $\underline{s} \in G$,

$$|F_n(\underline{s})| \geq c > 0. \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 3, there exists a sequence $\{\tau_m : \tau_m \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty} \tau_m = \infty$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\varphi(\sigma+it), \varphi'(\sigma+it), \dots, \varphi^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it),\\ &\quad \zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}), \zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B}), \dots, \zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha;\mathfrak{B})\big) \in G.\end{aligned}$$

But this together with (5) contradicts to the hypothesis of the theorem if $\tau_m$ is sufficiently large. Hence, $F_n \equiv 0$.

Similarly we can show $F_{n-1} \equiv 0, \dots, F_0 \equiv 0$, inductively. The proof is complete.

## 4. A GENERALIZATION

The mixed joint universality and the mixed joint functional independence theorem can be obtained in the following more general situation.

Suppose that $\alpha_j$ be a real number with $0 < \alpha_j < 1$, $l(j) \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1,\dots,r$, and $\lambda = l(1) + \ldots + l(r)$. For each $j$ and $l$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, $1 \leq l \leq l(j)$, let $\mathfrak{B}_{jl} = \{b_{mjl} \in \mathbb{C} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be a periodic sequence of complex numbers (not all zero) with the minimal period $k_{jl}$, and let $\zeta(s,\alpha_j;\mathfrak{B}_{jl})$ be the corresponding periodic Hurwitz zeta-function. Denote by $k_j$ the least common multiple of periods $k_{j1},\dots,k_{jl(j)}$. Let $B_j$ be the matrix consisting of

coefficients $b_{mjl}$ from the periodic sequences $\mathfrak{B}_{jl}$, $l=1,\dots,l(j)$, $m=1,\dots,k_j$, i.e.,

$$B_j=\begin{pmatrix} b_{1j1} & b_{1j2} & \dots & b_{1jl(j)} \\ b_{2j1} & b_{2j2} & \dots & b_{2jl(j)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{k_jj1} & b_{k_jj2} & \dots & b_{k_jjl(j)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The functional independence for the above collection of periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions was proved by A. Laurinčikas in [7, Theorem 3] under a certain matrix condition. The proof is based on the joint universality theorem among periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions proved by J. Steuding in [14].

For the proof of mixed joint functional independence, we may adopt the method developed in series of works by A. Laurinčikas and his colleagues (see, for example, [1], [8], [13]). Then it is possible to obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\operatorname{rank}B_j=l(j)$, $1\le j\le r$, and $\varphi(s)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{S}$. Let the function $F_j:\mathbb{C}^{N(\lambda+1)}\to\mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function for each $j=0,\dots,n$. Suppose that the function*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} s^j\cdot F_j\Bigg(\varphi(s),\varphi'(s),\dots,\varphi^{(N-1)}(s),$$
$$\zeta(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\zeta'(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\zeta'(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\zeta'(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}),\zeta'(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(s,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})\Bigg)$$

*is identically zero. Then $F_j\equiv 0$ for $j=0,\dots,n$.*

This theorem is a consequence of the following mixed joint universality theorem, which is a generalization of Lemma 2. This theorem is also an analogue of a result of J. Genys, R. Macaitienė, S. Račkauskienė and D. Šiaučiūnas [1, Theorem 3], which treats the case that $\varphi(s)$ is replaced by $\zeta(s)$.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\operatorname{rank}B_j=l(j)$, $1\le j\le r$, and $\varphi(s)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{S}$. Let $K_1$ be a compact subset of $D(\sigma^*,1)$, $K_{2jl}$ be compact subsets of $D(\frac{1}{2},1)$, all of which with connected complements. Suppose that $f_1\in H_0^c(K_1)$ and $f_{2jl}\in H^c(K_{2jl})$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$,*

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\mu\Bigg\{\tau\in[0,T]:\sup_{s\in K_1}|\varphi(s+i\tau)-f_1(s)|<\varepsilon,$$
$$\max_{1\le j\le r}\max_{1\le l\le l(j)}\sup_{s\in K_{2jl}}|\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha_j;\mathfrak{B}_{jl})-f_{2jl}(s)|<\varepsilon\Bigg\}>0.$$

**Remark 1.** Consider the case when all $l(j)=1$, $1\le j\le r$. Write $\mathfrak{B}_{j1}=\mathfrak{B}_j$. In this case, the condition rank$B_j=l(j)$ trivially holds. Therefore the joint universality and the functional independence among the functions $\varphi(s)$, $\zeta(s,\alpha_1,\mathfrak{B}_1),\dots,\zeta(s,\alpha_r,\mathfrak{B}_r)$ are valid without any matrix condition, only under the assumption that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. When A. Laurinčikas started his study on the universality of periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, he assumed various matrix-type conditions, but finally, the joint universality among periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions without any matrix condition was established by A. Laurinčikas and S. Skerstonaitė in [9, Theorem 3]. Our Theorems 4 and 5 include the "mixed" generalization of this theorem.

## 5. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

In the proof of Theorems 4 and 5, the crucial role is played by a mixed joint limit theorem in the sense of weakly convergent probability measures in the space of analytic functions.

5.1. **A generalized mixed joint limit theorem.** Let $D_1$ be an open subset of $D(\sigma^*,1)$, and $D_2$ be an open subset of $D(\frac{1}{2},1)$. For any set $S$, by $\mathcal{B}(S)$ we denote the set of all Borel subsets of $S$. For any region $D$, denote by $H(D)$ the set of all holomorphic functions on $D$. Let $\underline{H}$ be the Cartesian product of $\lambda+1$ such spaces, i.e.

$$\underline{H}=H(D_1)\times\underbrace{H(D_2)\times\ldots\times H(D_2)}_{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, let

$$\Omega_1=\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}\gamma_p\quad\text{and}\quad\Omega_2=\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\gamma_m,$$

where $\gamma_p=\gamma$ for all $p\in\mathbb{P}$, $\gamma_m=\gamma$ for all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, and $\gamma=\{s\in\mathbb{C}:|s|=1\}$, and define

$$\underline{\Omega}=\Omega_1\times\Omega_{21}\times\ldots\times\Omega_{2r}$$

where $\Omega_{2j}=\Omega_2$ for all $j=1,\ldots,r$. Then by the Tikhonov theorem $\underline{\Omega}$ is a compact topological Abelian group also. Then we have the probability space $(\underline{\Omega},\mathcal{B}(\underline{\Omega}),\underline{m}_H)$. Here $\underline{m}_H$ is the product of Haar measures $m_{H1},m_{H21},\ldots,m_{H2r}$, where $m_{H1}$ is the probability Haar measure on $(\Omega_1,\mathcal{B}(\Omega_1))$ and $m_{H2j}$ is the probability Haar measure on $(\Omega_{2j},\mathcal{B}(\Omega_{2j}))$, $j=1,\ldots,r$. Let $\omega_1(p)$ be the projection of $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ to $\gamma_p$, and, for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$, define

$$\omega_1(m)=\prod_{p^\alpha\|m}\omega_1(p)^\alpha$$

with respect to the factorization of $m$ to primes. Denote by $\omega_{2j}(m)$ the projection of $\omega_{2j}\in\Omega_{2j}$ to $\gamma_m$, $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $j=1,\ldots,r$.

For brevity, write $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}} = \big(\mathfrak{B}_{11}, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}, \cdots, \mathfrak{B}_{r1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}\big)$, $\underline{s} = (s_1, s_{211}, \dots, s_{21l(1)}, \dots, s_{2r1}, \dots, s_{2rl(r)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\lambda+1}$, and

$$\underline{Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}}) = (\varphi(s_1), \zeta(s_{211}, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{B}_{11}), \dots, \zeta(s_{21l(1)}, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),$$
$$\dots, \zeta(s_{2r1}, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{B}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s_{2rl(r)}, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})).$$

Let $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_{21}, ..., \omega_{2r}) \in \underline{\Omega}$. Define the $\underline{H}$-valued random element $\underline{Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}})$ on the probability space $(\underline{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}(\underline{\Omega}), \underline{m}_H)$ by the formula

$$\underline{Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}}) = (\varphi(s_1, \omega_1), \zeta(s_{211}, \alpha_1, \omega_{21}; \mathfrak{B}_{11}), \dots, \zeta(s_{21l(1)}, \alpha_1, \omega_{21}; \mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),$$
$$\dots, \zeta(s_{2r1}, \alpha_r, \omega_{2r}; \mathfrak{B}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta(s_{2rl(r)}, \alpha_r, \omega_{2r}; \mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})),$$

where

$$\varphi(s, \omega_1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_m \omega_1(m)}{m^s}, \quad s \in D(\sigma^*, 1),$$

and

$$\zeta(s, \alpha_j, \omega_{2j}; \mathfrak{B}_{jl}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{mjl} \omega_{2j}(m)}{(m+\alpha_j)^s}, \quad s \in D\big(\frac{1}{2}, 1\big), \quad j = 1, ..., r, \quad l = 1, ..., l(r),$$

respectively. These series are convergent for almost all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_{2j} \in \Omega_{2j}$, $j = 1, ..., r$. Denote by $P_{\underline{Z}}$ the distribution of the random element $\underline{Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}})$, i.e.,

$$P_{\underline{Z}}(A) = \underline{m}_H\left(\underline{\omega} \in \underline{\Omega} : \underline{Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}}) \in A\right), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\underline{H}).$$

Now we are ready to state our mixed joint limit theorem for the functions $\varphi(s)$, $\zeta(s, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{B}_{11})$, $\dots, \zeta(s, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})$ as a lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that the numbers $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\varphi \in \widetilde{S}$. Then the probability measure $P_T$ defined by*

$$P_T(A) = \frac{1}{T} \mathrm{meas}\left\{\tau \in [0, T] : \underline{Z}(\underline{s} + i\tau, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}}) \in A\right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\underline{H}), \tag{6}$$

*converges weakly to $P_{\underline{Z}}$ as $T \to \infty$.*

When $r = 1$ and $l(1) = 1$, this lemma is Theorem 2.1 from [5]. On the other hand, the same type of limit theorem with $\varphi$ replaced by the Riemann zeta-function is given in [1, Theorem 4]. The proof of the above lemma is quite similar to that of those results, so here we give a very brief outline.

Let $\varphi_n(s)$, $\varphi_n(s, \widehat{\omega}_1)$, $\zeta_n(s, \alpha; \mathfrak{B})$, $\zeta_n(s, \alpha, \widehat{\omega}_2; \mathfrak{B})$ be the same as in [5, Section 3], and define

$$\underline{Z}_n(\underline{s}, \underline{\alpha}; \underline{\mathfrak{B}}) = (\varphi_n(s_1), \zeta_n(s_{211}, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{B}_{11}), \dots, \zeta_n(s_{21l(1)}, \alpha_1; \mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),$$
$$\dots, \zeta_n(s_{2r1}, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{B}_{r1}), \dots, \zeta_n(s_{2rl(r)}, \alpha_r; \mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}))$$

and

$$\underline{Z}_n(\underline{s},\underline{\alpha},\underline{\widehat{\omega}};\underline{\mathfrak{B}}) = (\varphi_n(s_1,\widehat{\omega}_1),\zeta_n(s_{211},\alpha_1,\widehat{\omega}_{21};\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\dots,\zeta_n(s_{21l(1)},\alpha_1,\widehat{\omega}_{21};\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),$$
$$\dots,\zeta_n(s_{2r1},\alpha_r,\widehat{\omega}_{2r};\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\dots,\zeta_n(s_{2rl(r)},\alpha_r,\widehat{\omega}_{2r};\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})),$$

where $\underline{\widehat{\omega}} = (\widehat{\omega}_1,\widehat{\omega}_{21},\dots,\widehat{\omega}_{2r}) \in \underline{\Omega}$. The probability measures $P_{T,n}$, $\widehat{P}_{T,n}$ and $\widehat{P}_T$ on $\underline{H}$ are defined similarly as $P_T$ in (6), with replacing $\underline{Z}(\underline{s}+i\tau,\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{B}})$ by $\underline{Z}_n(\underline{s}+i\tau,\underline{\alpha};\underline{\mathfrak{B}})$, $\underline{Z}_n(\underline{s}+i\tau,\underline{\alpha},\underline{\widehat{\omega}};\underline{\mathfrak{B}})$ and $\underline{Z}(\underline{s}+i\tau,\underline{\alpha},\underline{\widehat{\omega}};\underline{\mathfrak{B}})$, respectively. As an analogue of [5, Lemma 3] or [1, Lemma 2], we can show that both measures $P_{T,n}$ and $\widehat{P}_{T,n}$ converge weakly to a certain probability measure $P_n$ as $T\to\infty$. (In the course of the proof, a key is Lemma 1 from [1], which is based on the assumption that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.)

Next we need an approximation lemma in the mean value sense, similar to [5, Lemma 3.3]. In the proof of [5, Lemma 3.3], we used the result of [3]. The desired approximation can be shown by using, instead of [3], mean value results given in [10, (2.3), (2.5)].

Then we can prove that both $P_T$ and $\widehat{P}_T$ converge weakly to a certain probability measure $P$. This is an analogue of [5, Lemma 3.4] or [1, Lemma 5]. Finally we can show that $P = P_{\underline{Z}}$, by the usual ergodic argument. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

5.2. **Completion of the proof.** Now we complete the proof of Theorems 4 and 5. Hereafter we assume that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathrm{rank}B_j = l(j)$, $1\le j\le r$, and $\varphi(s)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{S}$.

Let $S_\varphi$ be the set of all $f\in H(D_1)$ which is non-vanishing on $D_1$, or constantly $\equiv 0$ on $D_1$.

**Lemma 7.** *The support of the measure $P_{\underline{Z}}$ is $S_\varphi\times H(D_2)^\lambda$.*

This can be shown just analogously to [1, Theorem 5]. Then Theorem 5 follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 by the standard argument; see again [1].

Next, as a generalization of Lemma 3, we can show that the image of the map $u:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}^{(\lambda+1)N}$ by the formula

$$u(t) = \Big(\varphi(\sigma+it),\varphi'(\sigma+it),\dots,\varphi^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it),$$
$$\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{11}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha_1;\mathfrak{B}_{1l(1)}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{r1}),\dots,$$
$$\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}),\zeta'(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)}),\dots,\zeta^{(N-1)}(\sigma+it,\alpha_r;\mathfrak{B}_{rl(r)})\Big)$$

is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{(\lambda+1)N}$. Using this denseness result, similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the assertion of Theorem 4.

## APPENDIX

Here we give a comment on [11]. On p.179 of [11], it is mentioned that the Euler product (1) is convergent absolutely, under the condition (2), in the region $\sigma > \alpha+\beta+1$. This can be seen by the estimate

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{g(m)} |a_m^{(j)}| p_m^{-\sigma f(j,m)} \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{g(m)} p_m^{\beta-\sigma} \ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m^{\alpha+\beta-\sigma},$$

and hence the Dirichlet series expansion (3) is also valid in that region.

In the same page of [11], the estimate $\widetilde{c}_k = O(k^{\alpha+\beta})$ is also stated. However this is to be amended as follows. From (1) we have

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(s) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum\nolimits^{*} (a_m^{(1)})^{h_1}\cdots(a_m^{(g(m))})^{h_{g(m)}}\right) p_m^{-ls},$$

where $\sum^{*}$ means the summation over all tuples $(h_1,\dots,h_{g(m)})$ of non-negative integers satisfying

$$h_1 f(1,m) + \ldots + h_{g(m)} f(g(m),m) = l.$$

Denote by $C(m,l)$ the number of such tuples. Using (2) we obtain

$$\sum\nolimits^{*} (a_m^{(1)})^{h_1}\cdots(a_m^{(g(m))})^{h_{g(m)}} \le \sum\nolimits^{*} p_m^{(h_1+\ldots+h_{g(m)})\beta} \le p_m^{l\beta} C(m,l).$$

To estimate $C(m,l)$, it suffices to consider the case when $f(1,m) = \ldots = f(g(m),m) = 1$, and in this case

$$C(m,l) = \binom{g(m)+l-1}{l} \le g(m)^l \le (C_1 p_m^{\alpha})^l.$$

Therefore $\sum^{*} \le C_1^l p_m^{(\alpha+\beta)l}$, which yields, if $k = p_1^{l_1}\ldots p_r^{l_r}$,

$$\widetilde{c}_k \le C_1^{l_1+\ldots+l_r}(p_1^{l_1}\ldots p_r^{l_r})^{\alpha+\beta} = C_1^{\Omega(k)} k^{\alpha+\beta},$$

where $\Omega(k)$ denotes the total number of prime divisors of $k$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we see that $C_1 p_m^{\alpha} \le p_m^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ if $m$ is sufficiently large, and then $\sum^{*} \le (p_m^{\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon})^l$. This implies $\widetilde{c}_k = O(k^{\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon})$, if all prime factors of $k$ are large.

## REFERENCES


[1] J. Genys, R. Macaitienė, S. Račkauskienė, D. Šiaučiūnas, A mixed joint universlity theorem for zeta-functions, *Math. Model. Anal.*, **15**(4), 431–446 (2010).

[2] D. Hilbert, Mathematische Probleme, *Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.*, 253–297 (1900); also in Hilbert's Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Vol. III, Chelsea, 1965 (originally in 1935), pp. 290–329.

[3] A. Javtokas, A. Laurinčikas, On the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function, *Hardy-Ramanujan J.*, **29**, 18–36 (2006).

[4] R. Kačinskaitė, A. Laurinčikas, The joint distribution of periodic zeta-functions, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.*, **48**, 257–279 (2011).

[5] R. Kačinskaitė, K. Matsumoto, The mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions, *Math. Nachr.*, **288**, 1900-1909 (2015).

[6] A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht etc., 1996.

[7] A. Laurinčikas, Functional independence of periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, *Mat. Zametki*, **81**(1), 69–78 (2008) (in Russian) = *Math. Notes*, **83**(1), 65–71 (2008).

[8] A. Laurinčikas, D. Šiaučiūnas, A mixed joint universlity theorem for zeta-functions, in: "Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory", J. Kubilius Memorial Vol., A. Laurinčikas et al. (eds.), TEV, Vilnius, 185–195 (2012).

[9] A. Laurinčikas, S. Skerstonaitė, A joint universality theorem for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, *Lith. Math. J.*, **49**, 287-296 (2009).

[10] A. Laurinčikas, S. Skerstonaitė, Joint universality for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions II, in: "New Directions in Value-Distribution Theory of Zeta and *L*-Functions", R.& J. Steuding (eds.), Shaker, Aachen, 161–169 (2009).

[11] K. Matsumoto, Value-distribution of zeta-functions, in "Analytic Number Theory" Proc. Japanese-French Sympos. held in Tokyo, K. Nagasaka and E. Fouvry (eds.), Lecture Notes Math., **1434**, Springer Verlag, Berlin etc., 178–187 (1990).

[12] H. Mishou, The joint value distribution of the Riemann zeta function and Hurwitz zeta-function, *Lith. Math. J.*, **47**, 32–47 (2007).

[13] V. Pocevičienė, D. Šiaučiūnas, A mixed joint univeraslity theorem for zeta-functions. II, *Math. Model. Anal.*, **19**, 52–65 (2014).

[14] J. Steuding, *Value-Distribution of L-Functions and Allied Zeta Functions – with an Emphasis on Aspects of Unversality*, Habilitationschrift, J.W. Goethe University, Frankfurt, 2003.

[15] J. Steuding, *Value-Distribution of L-Functions*, Lecture Notes Math., **1877**, Springer Verlag, Berlin etc., 2007.

[16] S.M. Voronin, On the functional independence of $\zeta$-functions, *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, **14**, 607–609 (1973) = *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **209**, 1264–1266 (1973) (in Russian).

[17] S.M. Voronin, *Analytic Properties of Genereating Dirichlet Functions of Arithmetical Objects*, PhD Thesis, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).

R. KAČINSKAITĖ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ŠIAULIAI UNIVERSITY, VIŠINSKIO 19, LT-77156 ŠIAULIAI, LITHUANIA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, VYTAUTAS MAGNUS UNIVERSITY, KAUNAS, VILEIKOS 8, LT-44404, LITHUANIA

*E-mail address*: r.kacinskaite@fm.su.lt, r.kacinskaite@if.vdu.lt

K. MATSUMOTO, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: kohjimat@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp